

*CH/JS*

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

GOODWILL INDUSTRIES OF SOUTH  
FLORIDA, INC., on behalf of itself and all others  
similarly situated,

v.

WILLIAM PRYM GMBH & CO., KG; et al.

Civil Action No.  
07-CIV-9691

USDC SDNY  
DOCUMENT  
ELECTRONICALLY FILED  
DOC #: \_\_\_\_\_  
DATE FILED: 1/18/2008

**STIPULATION OF EXTENSION OF TIME TO RESPOND TO  
CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT**


COME NOW Plaintiff and Defendants, William Prym GmbH & Co. KG, Prym Consumer USA, Inc., and Prym Fashion, Inc., YKK Corporation of America Inc., YKK (U.S.A.) Inc., YKK Snap Fasteners America, Inc., Coats plc, Coats North America de Republica Dominicana, Inc., and Scovill Fasteners Inc ("Defendants"), in the above-styled civil action, and by and through their undersigned counsel, hereby stipulate that the time within which the Defendants must file a response to the Class Action Complaint is hereby extended until at least 45 days after: (1) the Panel on Multidistrict Litigation rules on the pending motions and (2) Plaintiff files and serves a consolidated class action complaint. If any answer or other responsive pleading is filed by Defendants in any other action arising out of the same transactions and occurrences before the date required by this stipulation, or if any documents are produced in such action, Defendants will file their answer or responsive pleading, and shall produce such documents, in this matter concurrently. In no event shall the Defendants' time to respond to the class action complaint or a consolidated class action complaint, if any, be due prior to the time that a response is due for any defendant that is not a party to this stipulation, except that not more than 30 days shall thereby be added to the time that Defendants would otherwise be required to respond pursuant to this stipulation.

By entering into this stipulation, Defendants have not waived their rights with respect to any potential defenses in this litigation, including but not limited to assertion of jurisdictional defenses, except as to the sufficiency of service of process.

2


So stipulated, this 11 th day of January, 2008.

Robert Kaplan  
KAPLAN FOX & KILSHEIMER LLP  
850 Third Avenue, 14th Floor  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 687-1980  
rkaplan@kaplanfox.com

By:   
Robert Kaplan (RK 3100)


*Attorneys for Plaintiff*

Joseph F. Wayland  
STIMPSON THACHER & BARTLETT LLP  
425 Lexington Avenue  
New York, NY 10017  
(212) 455-2000  
jwayland@stblaw.com

By:   
Joseph F. Wayland (JW 1349)

*Attorneys for Defendants Coats plc, and Coats  
North America de Republica Dominicana, Inc.*

Scott Martin  
WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP  
767 Fifth Avenue  
New York, New York 10153  
(212) 310-8000  
scott.martin@weil.com

By:   
Scott Martin (SM 7373)

*Attorneys for Defendants Willton Prym GmbH &  
Co. KG, Prym Consumer USA, Inc., and Prym  
Fashion, Inc.*


John Shin  
MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP  
101 Park Avenue  
New York, NY 10178  
(212) 309-6844  
jshin@morganlewis.com

By:   
John Shin (JS 1970)

*Attorneys for Defendants YKK Corporation of  
America Inc., YKK (U.S.A.) Inc., and YKK Snap  
Fasteners America, Inc.*

3

John G. Despriet  
SMITH, GAMBLELL & RUSSELL, LLP  
1230 Peachtree Street, NE  
Promenade II, Suite 3100  
Atlanta, Georgia 30309-3592  
(404) 815-3500  
[jdespriet@sgrlaw.com](mailto:jdespriet@sgrlaw.com)

By   
John G. Despriet  
(Pro Hac Vice Application to be  
Submitted)

*Attorneys for Defendant Scovill Fasteners, Inc.*

*so ordered,*

*SCOTT JONES*

*RJ*

*WJDJ*  
*1/17/08*